

#### BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

**COMMISSIONERS** 

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

OCT - 8 2008

**DOCKLIED BY** 

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

6

In the matter of:

GUILLERMO RICARDO DE LA VARA (a/k/a "WILLIAM DE LA VARA" and "BILL DE LA VARA"), a married man doing business as MORTGAGE NOTES, an) Arizona registered trade name and MORTGAGE NOTES, INC., a dissolved Arizona corporation;

MIKE GLEASON, Chairman

WILLIAM A. MUNDELL JEFF HATCH-MILLER

KRISTIN K. MAYES **GARY PIERCE** 

MNI PROPERTIES, L.L.C., an Arizona limited liability company;

ERLINDA DE LA VARA (a/k/a "ERLINDA G. LOPEZ"), spouse of GUILLERMO RICARDO DE LA VARA,

Respondents.

DOCKET NO. S-20616A-08-0449

70547 DECISION NO.

ORDER TO CEASE AND DESIST, ORDER OF RESTITUTION, ORDER FOR ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTIES AND CONSENT TO SAME BY RESPONDENTS

GUILLERMO RICARDO DE LA VARA,

MORTGAGE NOTES, INC.,

MNI PROPERTIES, L.L.C.

-AND-

ERLINDA DE LA VARA

Respondents GUILLERMO RICARDO DE LA VARA (a/k/a "WILLIAM DE LA VARA" and "BILL DE LA VARA") doing business as MORTGAGE NOTES and as MORTGAGE NOTES, INC., MNI PROPERTIES, L.L.C. and ERLINDA DE LA VARA (a/k/a "ERLINDA G. LOPEZ") elect to permanently waive any right to a hearing and appeal under Articles 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of Arizona, A.R.S. § 44-1801 et seq. ("Securities Act") with respect to this Order To Cease And Desist, Order of Restitution, Order for Administrative Penalties ("Order") and Consent to Same. Respondents admit the jurisdiction of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission"); neither admit nor deny the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained in this Order; and consent to the entry of this Order by the Commission.

25 ///

/// 26

I.

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Respondent GUILLERMO RICARDO DE LA VARA (a/k/a "WILLIAM DE LA VARA" and "BILL DE LA VARA") (hereafter, "DE LA VARA") is a married man who at all times relevant resided in Phoenix, Arizona. DE LA VARA does business as "MORTGAGE NOTES," an Arizona registered trade name owned by DE LA VARA, and as MORTGAGE NOTES, INC. described in paragraph 2 below.
- 2. Respondent MORTGAGE NOTES, INC. ("MNI") is a dissolved Arizona corporation with a principal place of business in Phoenix, Arizona. MNI was formed in Arizona on or about September 1990 and was administratively dissolved by the Corporations Division of the Commission on August 1, 2008 for its failure to file its 2008 annual report. From at least 2001 to the present, DE LA VARA transacted business through, and has been doing business as MNI as its co-owner, president, chief executive officer and director.
- 3. Respondent MNI PROPERTIES, L.L.C. ("MNIP") is an Arizona limited liability company with a principal place of business in Phoenix, Arizona. MNIP was formed by DE LA VARA on January 21, 2004. DE LA VARA is the co-owner and managing member of MNIP.
- 4. Respondent ERLINDA DE LA VARA (a/k/a "ERLINDA G. LOPEZ") has been at all times relevant the spouse of DE LA VARA. She is referred to hereafter as "RESPONDENT SPOUSE." RESPONDENT SPOUSE is joined in this action under A.R.S. § 44-2031(C) solely for the purpose of determining the liability of the marital community.
- 5. At all times relevant, DE LA VARA was acting for his own benefit and for the benefit or in furtherance of DE LA VARA and RESPONDENT SPOUSE's marital community.
- MNI, MNIP and DE LA VARA are collectively referred to hereafter as "RESPONDENTS" as the context requires.

- 7. From January 2001 to the present, RESPONDENTS offered and sold securities within and from Arizona in the form of investment contracts and/or notes. ("Lien Investments"). RESPONDENTS sold \$5,742,967.79 of the Lien Investments to 26 Arizona investors.
- 8. RESPONDENTS represented to investors that they are in the business of purchasing seller-held real estate notes and deeds of trust (collectively "deed(s) of trust" as the context requires).
- 9. RESPONDENTS often purchase a deed of trust at a discount, or for less money than the loan balance owed under the deed of trust by the borrower/note maker.
- 10. Depending on their intrinsic profitability, RESPONDENTS sometimes purchase a deed of trust at par (face value), or for the exact loan balance owed under the deed of trust.
- 11. RESPONDENTS also generate their own deeds of trust to secure bridge and other loans to fund the purchase or improvement of real property.
- 12. The terms of the deeds of trust vary. For example: (a) their interest rates generally range from 8% to 18% per year; (b) their loan terms generally range from 1 to 5 years; and (c) they often include a balloon payment on the expiration of the loan term. The profit potential of holding a deed of trust depends on, without limitation: (a) the creditworthiness of the borrower/note maker; (b) the number, dollar amount and position of liens attached to the related real estate; (c) the fair market value of the real estate; (d) whether the borrower/note maker stays in their home, or sell their home and pay off their loan prior to maturity; and (e) whether RESPONDENTS manage the Lien Investments as promised.
- 13. RESPONDENTS re-sold and/or assigned the deeds of trust to investors as the Lien Investments. The purchase price of a Lien Investment ranged from \$5,000 to \$250,000.
- 14. RESPONDENTS represented to investors that the Lien Investments were risk-free and fully secured by real estate that had a fair market value exceeding the balance of the notes/loans secured by the deeds of trust.

- 15. The terms of a Lien Investment often retained those set forth in the original deed of trust acquired or generated by RESPONDENTS. RESPONDENTS sometimes sold an investor a Lien Investment that consisted only of a portion of the payments due under a deed of trust. RESPONDENTS occasionally sold a Lien Investment to an investor that included a lesser interest rate than that set forth under the original deed of trust.
- any underwriting and/or risk evaluation services associated with a Lien Investment, "in house, with no loan committees with which to contend;" (b) generated and timely recorded a deed of trust or other documents to legally or adequately secure an investor's Lien Investment; (c) serviced a note and deed of trust, and collect monthly payments and balloon and/or note payoffs from the borrower/note makers; (d) disbursed monthly loan payments, and loan payoffs associated with a Lien Investment to an investor; (e) prepared Lien Investment account statements, and forwarded such statements to investors; (f) researched and/or confirmed the title of real estate that would purportedly secure an investor's Lien Investment; (g) prepared and recorded a deed of release at the conclusion of an investor's Lien Investment as required by law; and/or (h) handled foreclosure or borrower/note maker eviction matters relating to a Lien Investment to repay the investor their principal investment and promised profit.
- 17. Once an investor purchased a Lien Investment and signed any applicable real estate documents, they had no duties to receive their promised Lien Investment profit and the return of their principal investment. Lien Investment documents created, signed and recorded by RESPONDENTS were acknowledged (notarized) by RESPONDENT SPOUSE under her alternative name "ERLINDA G. LOPEZ."
- 18. Under the Lien Investments, RESPONDENTS shared profits with their investors, for instance, by: (a) retaining a lump-sum origination fee from the principal Lien Investment funds and/or borrower/note maker; (b) assigning only a portion of the payments due under a deed of trust to an investor, and retaining the remaining interest and principal payments made by the borrower/note

maker; or (c) by retaining interest income representing the difference in the interest rate called for under an original deed of trust and that ultimately assigned/sold to an investor as a Lien Investment.

- 19. At all times relevant, RESPONDENTS:
  - A. Failed to disclose to certain investors that they were being sold Lien Investments related to real estate that RESPONDENTS did not own or have a legal or equitable interest.
  - B. Misrepresented to certain investors that RESPONDENTS would collect monthly and loan-payoff payments from borrower/note makers when they, in fact, did not.
  - C. Failed to disclose to investors that RESPONDENTS sometimes would fail to record deeds of trust to secure an investor's Lien Investment in the lien position promised by RESPONDENTS (i.e., 1<sup>st</sup>). RESPONDENTS further failed to disclose to certain investors that RESPONDENTS then sold the same Lien Investment (e.g., note and related 1<sup>st</sup> position deed of trust) to another investor. RESPONDENTS often failed to provide their investors with recorded documents demonstrating the purported security of their Lien Investments.
  - D. Failed to disclose to investors that RESPONDENTS would in some cases forge an investor's signature on a real estate document, such as a release of deed of trust, in part, so RESPONDENTS could sell the same Lien Investment to another investor.
  - E. Misrepresented and/or failed to disclose to certain investors the *number* of pre-existing liens attached to a piece of real estate. This misrepresentation and/or omission sometimes resulted in a piece of real estate being subject to 4 or more Lien Investments that were often under-secured.



- F. Misrepresented to certain investors the *dollar amount* of disclosed, existing/prior liens attached to a piece of real estate and/or the *fair market value* of the real estate. These misrepresentations sometimes resulted in an under-secured Lien Investment.
- G. Failed to disclose to investors that RESPONDENTS would sometimes falsify the legal description of real estate in a deed of trust that purportedly secures an investor's Lien Investment, and then correctly typed the legal description of the same real estate in documents associated with a subsequent investor's purchase of the same Lien Investment.
- 20. In one instance, DE LA VARA and MNI acquired 6 properties with loans issued by a mortgage banker, resulting in first position liens on all 6 properties in favor of the mortgage banker. Thereafter, DE LA VARA and MNI sold second position Lien Investments on the 6 properties to an existing investor. DE LA VARA and MNI then purportedly sold first position Lien Investments on those 6 properties to an Arizona couple (the "Jade Park investors") when, in reality and by default, they actually purchased third position Lien Investments. With the downturn in the Arizona real estate market and related sale costs, the depreciated, current market value of the 6 properties is less than, or approximately equal to the loan balance owed to first position lien holding mortgage banker. Thus, the Jade Park investors' Investments are under-secured and worthless.
- 21. In another case, DE LA VARA and MNI sold another Arizona couple 29 Lien Investments totaling approximately \$950,000 in which these RESPONDENTS engaged in the conduct described above. These investors' Lien Investments are under-secured and/or unsecured.
- 22. Contrary to RESPONDENTS' representations, the Lien Investments were not riskfree and secure because, without limitation, they were subject to RESPONDENTS' misrepresentations and non-disclosures noted above, unpredictable civil litigation, bankruptcy proceedings and a material drop in the value of associated real estate

///

///

///

26 ||

- 23. RESPONDENTS failed to disclose to investors that DE LA VARA and MNI filed two bankruptcies directly related to, and adversely affecting the purportedly secure and profitable nature of the Lien Investments, to wit:
  - MNI voluntarily filed a Chapter 11 bankruptcy on June 29, 2007 in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court, District of Arizona, 2:07-bk-03071-JMM, which has since been converted to a Chapter 7 bankruptcy (the "MNI Bankruptcy"); and
  - B. DE LA VARA voluntarily filed a Chapter 7, no-asset bankruptcy on January 15, 2008 in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court, District of Arizona,
     2:08-bk-00381-SSC (the "DE LA VARA Bankruptcy").

The MNI and DE LA VARA Bankruptcies are pending.

A.

- 24. On June 9, 2008, the judge in the DE LA VARA Bankruptcy: (a) denied the discharge of his debts in that case under 11 U.S.C. § 727 relating to fraudulent transfers of property pursuant to Adversary Complaint No. 2-08-AP-294; and (b) entered an adverse judgment against him in the amount of \$353,913.57. The fraudulent transfers at issue in the DE LA VARA Bankruptcy were made by DE LA VARA and MNI to MNIP and DE LA VARA family members. There is a pending investor Adversary Complaint No. 08-00287 in the DE LA VARA Bankruptcy that seeks an order that approximately \$1 million dollars worth of Lien Investments are non-dischargeable under 11 U.S.C. § 523(a)(2), (4) & (6) due to DE LA VARA and MNI's fraud in selling the Lien Investments.
- 25. In one instance, DE LA VARA failed to disclose the existence and nature of the DE LA VARA and/or MNI Bankruptcies to an Arizona investor of who purchased a \$14,500 Lien Investment sold by DE LA VARA and MNIP in August 2008.

# 

# 

# 

# 

### 

## 

# 

## 

# 

II.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Commission has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona Constitution and the Securities Act.
- 2. RESPONDENTS offered or sold securities within or from Arizona, within the meaning of A.R.S. §§ 44-1801(15), 44-1801(21), and 44-1801(26).
- 3. RESPONDENTS violated A.R.S. § 44-1841 by offering or selling securities that were neither registered nor exempt from registration.
- 4. RESPONDENTS violated A.R.S. § 44-1842 by offering or selling securities while neither registered as a dealer or salesman nor exempt from registration.
- 5. RESPONDENTS violated A.R.S. § 44-1991 by: (a) employing a device, scheme, or artifice to defraud, (b) making untrue statements or misleading omissions of material facts, and (c) engaging in transactions, practices, or courses of business that operate or would operate as a fraud or deceit. RESPONDENTS' conduct included:
  - A. Misrepresenting to investors that the Lien Investments were risk-free and secure, when they were not secure, under-secured and/or entailed lower lien positions than represented by RESPONDENTS, and because they were subject to unpredictable civil litigation, bankruptcy proceedings and a material drop in the value of the associated real estate.
  - B. Misrepresenting to certain investors that RESPONDENTS owned or had a legal or equitable interest in real estate that purportedly secured an investor's Lien Investment when, in fact, they did not. This misrepresentation resulted in the investors' Lien Investment being unsecured.
  - C. Misrepresenting to certain investors that RESPONDENTS would collect monthly and loan payoff payments from borrower/note makers and forward such monies to the investors when they, in fact, did not.



- D. Misrepresenting to certain investors that RESPONDENTS would timely record deeds of trust and any related documents to secure an investor's Lien Investment in the lien position promised by RESPONDENTS (i.e., 1<sup>st</sup>). RESPONDENTS then failed to disclose to investors that they would resell the same Lien Investment position to another investor. This misconduct resulted in the first investor's Lien Investment being under-secured and/or unsecured.
- E. Failing to disclose to investors that RESPONDENTS would in some cases forge an investor's signature on a real estate document, such as a release of deed of trust that extinguished the investor's security interest in their Lien Investment. This non-disclosure allowed RESPONDENTS to then re-sell the same Lien Investment to another investor.
- F. Misrepresenting and/or failing to disclose to certain investors the *number* of existing liens attached to a piece of real estate that purportedly would purportedly secure an investor's Lien Investment. This misrepresentation often resulted in a piece of real estate being subject to 4 or more Lien Investments. This misrepresentation had the effect of leaving the investor's Lien Investment under-secured and/or unsecured.
- G. Misrepresenting and/or failing to disclose to certain investors the dollar amount of disclosed, existing/prior lien(s) attached to a piece of real estate, and/or the fair market value of the real estate, to induce an investor to invest in, for instance, a second position Lien Investment. This misrepresentation had the effect of leaving the Lien Investment under-secured and/or unsecured.
- H. Failing to disclose to investors that RESPONDENTS would in some instances falsify the legal description of real estate in documents associated with an investor's Lien Investment, and then correctly write the legal description of the same real estate in documents associated with a subsequent investor's purchase

of the same Lien Investment. This non-disclosure resulted in the first investor's Lien Investment being unsecured.

- I. Failing to disclose to investors that Lien Investment documents created, signed and recorded by RESPONDENTS, and acknowledged (notarized) by RESPONDENT SPOUSE under her alternative name "ERLINDA G. LOPEZ" resulted in the Lien Investments being invalid and unsecured, for instance, as to subsequent lien holders/creditors whose real estate documents were timely and properly acknowledged and recorded.
- J. Failing to disclose to certain investors the nature and existence of the DE LA VARA and MNI Bankruptcies.
- 6. RESPONDENTS' conduct is grounds for a cease and desist order pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032.
- 7. RESPONDENTS' conduct is grounds for an order of restitution pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032.
- 8. RESPONDENTS' conduct is grounds for administrative penalties under A.R.S. § 44-2036.

#### III.

#### **ORDER**

THEREFORE, on the basis of the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and RESPONDENTS and RESPONDENT SPOUSE's consent to the entry of this Order, attached and incorporated by reference, the Commission finds that the following relief is appropriate, in the public interest, and necessary for the protection of investors:

IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032, that RESPONDENTS and RESPONDENT SPOUSE and any of their agents, employees, successors and assigns, permanently cease and desist from violating the Securities Act.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that RESPONDENTS and RESPONDENT SPOUSE comply with the attached Consent to Entry of Order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032, that RESPONDENTS and the marital community of DE LA VARA and RESPONDENT SPOUSE shall jointly and severally pay restitution to the Commission in the amount of \$5,742,967.79. Any amount outstanding shall accrue interest at the rate of 10% per annum from the date of this Order until paid in full. Payment shall be made to the "State of Arizona" to be placed in an interest-bearing account controlled by the Commission. RESPONDENTS will be given restitution credit for any legal repayments made by RESPONDENTS to the investors shown on the records of the Commission. It shall be the sole responsibility of RESPONDENTS to provide all information and documentation deemed satisfactory to the Commission in which to verify that such payments have been made. The Commission shall disburse the funds on a pro-rata basis to investors shown on the records of the Commission. Any restitution funds that the Commission cannot disburse because an investor refuses to accept such payment shall be disbursed on a pro-rata basis to the remaining investors shown on the records of the Commission. Any funds that the Commission determines it is unable to or cannot feasibly disburse shall be transferred to the general fund of the state of Arizona.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2036 that RESPONDENTS and the marital community of DE LA VARA and RESPONDENT SPOUSE shall jointly and severally pay an administrative penalty in the amount of \$125,000. Payment shall be made to the "State of Arizona." Any amount outstanding shall accrue interest at the rate of 10% per annum from the date of this Order until paid in full. The payment obligations for these administrative penalties shall be subordinate to any restitution obligations ordered herein and shall become immediately due and payable only after restitution payments have been paid in full or upon Respondents' default with respect to Respondents' restitution obligations.



Docket No. S-20616A-08-0449

For purposes of this Order, a bankruptcy filing by RESPONDENTS or RESPONDENT SPOUSE shall be an act of default. If any Respondent does not comply with this Order, any outstanding balance may be deemed in default and shall be immediately due and payable.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that if RESPONDENTS or RESPONDENT SPOUSE fail to comply with this order, the Commission may bring further legal proceedings against that Respondent, including application to the superior court for an order of contempt.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Order shall become effective immediately.

BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER  COMMISSIONER  COMMISSIONER  COMMISSIONER
Tong Gand Sie
COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER
COMMISSIONER / COMMISSIONER
: - () - () () () () () () () () () () () () ()
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive Director of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this day of October, 2008.
BRIAN C. McNEIL EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

This document is available in alternative formats by contacting Linda Hogan, ADA Coordinator, voice phone number 602-542-3931, e-mail <a href="mailto:lhogan@azcc.gov">lhogan@azcc.gov</a>.

# 

### \_

### 

#### 

#### 

### 

#### 

Q

#### CONSENT TO ENTRY OF ORDER

- 1. Respondent GUILLERMO RICARDO DE LA VARA (a/k/a "WILLIAM DE LA VARA" and "BILL DE LA VARA") ("DE LA VARA") doing business as MORTGAGE NOTES, an Arizona registered trade name, and doing business through and as Respondent MORTGAGE NOTES, INC. ("MNI"), a dissolved Arizona corporation, Respondent MNI PROPERTIES, L.L.C. ("MNIP"), an Arizona limited liability company (collectively "RESPONDENTS" as the context requires), and ERLINDA DE LA VARA (a/k/a "ERLINDA G. LOPEZ") ("RESPONDENT SPOUSE") admit the jurisdiction of the Commission over the subject matter of this proceeding. RESPONDENTS and RESPONDENT SPOUSE acknowledge that they have been fully advised of their right to a hearing to present evidence and call witnesses and RESPONDENTS and RESPONDENTS and RESPONDENT spouse knowingly and voluntarily waive any and all rights to a hearing before the Commission and all other rights otherwise available under Article 11 of the Securities Act and Title 14 of the Arizona Administrative Code. RESPONDENTS and RESPONDENT SPOUSE acknowledge that this Order to Cease and Desist, Order of Restitution, Order for Administrative Penalties ("Order") constitutes a valid final order of the Commission.
- 2. RESPONDENTS and RESPONDENT SPOUSE knowingly and voluntarily waive any right under Article 12 of the Securities Act to judicial review by any court by way of suit, appeal, or extraordinary relief resulting from the entry of this Order.
- RESPONDENTS and RESPONDENT SPOUSE acknowledge and agree that this
   Order is entered into freely and voluntarily and that no promise was made or coercion used to induce such entry.
- 4. RESPONDENTS and RESPONDENT SPOUSE understand and acknowledge that RESPONDENTS and RESPONDENT SPOUSE have a right to seek counsel regarding this Order, and that RESPONDENTS and RESPONDENT SPOUSE have had the opportunity to seek counsel prior to signing this Order. RESPONDENTS and RESPONDENT SPOUSE acknowledge and

agree that, despite the foregoing, RESPONDENTS and RESPONDENT SPOUSE freely and voluntarily waive any and all right to consult or obtain counsel prior to signing this Order.

- 5. RESPONDENTS and RESPONDENT SPOUSE neither admit nor deny the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained in this Order. RESPONDENTS and RESPONDENT SPOUSE agree that RESPONDENTS and RESPONDENT SPOUSE shall not contest the validity of the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained in this Order in any present or future administrative proceeding before the Commission or any other state agency concerning the denial or issuance of any license or registration required by the state to engage in the practice of any business or profession.
- 6. By consenting to the entry of this Order, RESPONDENTS and RESPONDENT SPOUSE agree not to take any action or to make, or permit to be made, any public statement denying, directly or indirectly, any Finding of Fact or Conclusion of Law in this Order or creating the impression that this Order is without factual basis. RESPONDENTS and RESPONDENT SPOUSE will undertake steps necessary to assure that all of RESPONDENTS and RESPONDENT SPOUSE's agents and employees understand and comply with this agreement.
- 7. While this Order settles this administrative matter between RESPONDENTS and RESPONDENT SPOUSE and the Commission, RESPONDENTS and RESPONDENT SPOUSE understand that this Order does not preclude the Commission from instituting other administrative or civil proceedings based on violations that are not addressed by this Order.
- 8. RESPONDENTS and RESPONDENT SPOUSE understand that this Order does not preclude the Commission from referring this matter to any governmental agency for administrative, civil, or criminal proceedings that may be related to the matters addressed by this Order.
- 9. RESPONDENTS and RESPONDENT SPOUSE understand that this Order does not preclude any other agency or officer of the state of Arizona or its subdivisions from instituting

3

4

6

5

7 8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

administrative, civil, or criminal proceedings that may be related to matters addressed by this Order.

- RESPONDENTS agree that they will not apply to the state of Arizona for 10. registration as a securities dealer or salesman or for licensure as an investment adviser or investment adviser representative at any time in the future.
- 11. RESPONDENTS agree that they will not exercise any control over any entity that offers or sells securities or provides investment advisory services within or from Arizona at any time in the future.
- 12. RESPONDENTS agree that they will not sell any securities in or from Arizona without being properly registered in Arizona as a dealer or salesman, or exempt from such registration; RESPONDENTS will not sell any securities in or from Arizona unless the securities are registered in Arizona or exempt from registration; and RESPONDENTS will not transact business in Arizona as an investment adviser or an investment adviser representative unless properly licensed in Arizona or exempt from licensure.
- RESPONDENTS and RESPONDENT SPOUSE agree that RESPONDENTS and 13. RESPONDENT SPOUSE will continue to cooperate with the Securities Division including, but not limited to, providing complete and accurate testimony at any hearing in this matter and cooperating with the state of Arizona in any related investigation or any other matters arising from the activities described in this Order.
- 14. DE LA VARA and RESPONDENT SPOUSE acknowledge that any restitution or penalties imposed by this Order are obligations of DE LA VARA as well as the marital community of DE LA VARA and RESPONDENT SPOUSE.
- RESPONDENTS and RESPONDENT SPOUSE consent to the entry of this Order 15. and agree to be fully bound by its terms and conditions.
- 16. RESPONDENTS and RESPONDENT SPOUSE acknowledge and understand that if RESPONDENTS and RESPONDENT SPOUSE fail to comply with the provisions of the order



7

11

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

> 25 26

and this consent, the Commission may bring further legal proceedings against RESPONDENTS or RESPONDENT SPOUSE, including application to the superior court for an order of contempt.

- 17. RESPONDENTS and RESPONDENT SPOUSE understand that default shall render RESPONDENTS and RESPONDENT SPOUSE liable to the Commission for its costs of collection and interest at the maximum legal rate.
- 18. RESPONDENTS and RESPONDENT SPOUSE agree and understand that if RESPONDENTS and RESPONDENT SPOUSE fail to make any payment as required in the Order, any outstanding balance shall be in default and shall be immediately due and payable without notice or demand. RESPONDENTS and RESPONDENT SPOUSE agree and understand that acceptance of any partial or late payment by the Commission is not a waiver of default by Commission.
- 19. DE LA VARA represents that at all times relevant: (a) DE LA VARA transacted business through, and did business as Respondent MNI as its co-owner, president, chief executive officer and director; and (b) DE LA VARA transacted business through, and did business as Respondent MNIP as its founder, co-owner and managing member. DE LA VARA has been authorized by Respondents MNI and MNIP to enter into this Order for and on their behalf.

Guillermo Ricardo de la Vara (a/k/a "William de la Vara" and "Bill de la Vara")

STATE OF ARIZONA County of

My commission expires:

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE me this 11th day of September, 2008.

Vironia Saloral

NOTARY PUBLIC

16

Decision No.

현실 경임 등로 기업하는 등일 시간 환경의 고함 (1) 일이 전 경임 (1) 보다 하는 역시 기업은 기업 기업 (1)	[1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1]
	By: Sounda de la Vasa Erlinda de la Vara (a/k/a "Erlinda Lopez"), Spouse of Guillermo Ricardo de la Vara
STATE OF ARIZONA )	
)ss County of Maricopa )	경기 등 등 경기 등 등록 하고 하는 것이다. 그리는 그리고 하는 것이다. 일반 등 기가 있다면 하는 것이 하는 것이다. 그리고 있는 것이다. 그런 것이다. 그런 것이다.
SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFO	ORE me this 12th day of Sept., 2008 .
Contraction of the Contraction o	
JENNIFER L BRACKETT NOTARY PUBLIC ARIZONA MARICOPA COUNTY My Commission Expires March 22, 2011	AUWILL SUMS NOTARY PUBLIC
My commission expires:	
8/20/11	45 P. C.
	Mortgage Notes, Inc.
	사용하다. 그리고 있으면 하는 사람들은 사용하는 사용을 받았다. 사용하는 사용하는 기계를 하는 사용하는 사용하는 사용하는 사용하는 것이다.
	By: Sullumo dela Vara
	Guillermo de la Vara
	Its: president, chief executive officer
STATE OF ARIZONA )	
) ss County of	
요리하는 보통 맛이 되는 것은 경우를 받았다.	
SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEN	ORE me this 12th day of September, 2008.
	Verorica Sandoral NOTARY PUBLIC
My commission expires:	대로를 가장하는 함께 있는 그는 그들은 가장 얼굴이 들어 있다니다. 이 것이 말을 들는 것이 하는 것을 하지 않는 가장 것으로 하는데 없었습니다.
9/21/2010	
"S" "OFFICIAL SEAL"	등 하는 것이다. 그렇게 살아 다양한 사는 것이 하는 것을 하는데 하는데 하다. 사용하는 것이 하는 것이다. 사용하는 것이 하는 것이 하는 것이다. 그렇게 하는 것이다. 사용하는 것이 하는 것이다. 사용하는 것이다. 사용하는 것이다는 것이다.
Veronica Sandoval Notary Public-Arizona Maricopa County My Commission Expires 9/21/2010	
My Commission Expires 9/21/2010	Decision No. <u>70547</u>

Docket No. S-20616A-08-0449

MNI Properties, L.L.C. Guillermo de la Vara Its: managing member STATE OF ARIZONA ) ss County of SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE me this 12th day of September, 2008. My commission expires: 9/21/2010 "OFFICIAL SEAL"
Veronica Sandoval
Notary Public-Arizona
Maricopa County
My Commission Expires 9/21/2010